

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/790,413	03/01/2004	Farrokh Farzin-Nia	ORM / 244US	1445
26875 75	90 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			DONAHOE, CASEY D	
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202		3732		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	c

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/790,413	FARZIN-NIA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Casey Donahoe	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9-20,30-35,37,39,40 and 45-47</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9,10,12-18,30-35,37,39 and 40</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>11,19,20 and 45-47</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents are considered to by the Examiner contents are considered to by the Examiner contents are contents and contents are contents.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 9-20, 30-35, 37, 39-40, and 45-47 in the reply filed on 11/29/2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-8, 21-29, 36, 38 and 41-44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/29/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said first and second removable stops" in lines 1-

2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9, 13, 16, 17, 18, 34, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richards (US 5,306,142).

Regarding claims 9 and 13, Richards discloses an archwire assembly (Figs. 10-11), including: an archwire (79); a crimpable sleeve (82) adapted to slide along the archwire (column 1, lines 39-41); and an abrasive/friction-creating substance (85) applied to the crimpable sleeve (column 6, lines 25-38).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, the friction-creating substance (46), as seen in Fig. 5, at least partially fills the crimpable sleeve and at least partially coats the archwire, especially when crimped (column 1, lines 54-57)

Regarding claim 18, the friction-creating substance is inherently removable, because it could be scraped or broken off when dried by means of a dental instrument, such as a scaler.

Regarding claims 34 and 37, Richards discloses an inherent method of applying a crimpable sleeve to an archwire and limiting the movement of the sleeve via the friction-creating substance.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 12, 14, 15, 30-33, 39, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards in view of Bergersen (US 4,764,112).

Regarding claims 30-32, Richards, as described earlier, discloses a crimpable sleeve, movable along the archwire, with a hook that may be used to anchor an elastic, ligature or spring at any point along the archwire (abstract). Richards fails to disclose an additional removable stop applied to the archwire. Bergersen discloses a removable distal stop, which secures the archwire within the mouth and permits relatively easy removal of the wire from the teeth as well as easy position adjustability, which is required as the posterior teeth or moved (column 1, lines 29-36, 63-66). Although the crimpable sleeve of Richards is securely attached to the wire, it may not be positioned directly next to the buccal tube, thus allowing movement of the archwire. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the stops disclosed by Bergersen on the archwire assembly disclosed by Richards in order to secure the archwire from medial/distal translation and to provide a more efficient and less time-consuming means for repositioning the wire when needed (column 2, lines 10-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,413

Art Unit: 3732

Regarding claim 33, the stop disclosed by Bergersen includes a resilient band (40), which stretches across the buccal tube (column 3, lines 46-57) in order to prevent both medial and distal translation.

Regarding claims 10 and 12, the assembly now includes first and second removable stops positioned adjacent the free ends of the archwire, with the crimpable sleeve positioned between them.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Bergersen fails to disclose the exact amount of force required to slide the removable stops along the archwire. Because the removable stops are required to slide along the archwire only under an appropriate exertion of manual force (so that they may be removed), but still resist sliding under nominal forces, the disclosed removable stops meet the same general conditions disclosed by the Applicant. Applicant's claimed force values of a sliding force greater than the weight of a crimpable sleeve and a sliding force of one half pound are not disclosed to provide any new or unexpected results which would lie outside the obvious range of sliding force values. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the sliding force greater than the weight of the crimpable sleeve disclosed by Richards and approximately equal to one half pound, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 39 and 40, Richards discloses an method of using an archwire assembly, which inherently includes applying a plurality of brackets (24 in Fig. 1) to the teeth, securing the archwire assembly to the brackets, and crimping the crimpable

Art Unit: 3732

sleeve along the archwire. Bergersen discloses the additional step of removing his stop in order to reposition the archwire when necessary.

Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balenseifen (US 4,571,179).

Balenseifen discloses a novel orthodontic archwire, which is arcuately curved to provide precise and detailed movement of teeth (column 1, line 63 - column 2, line 12). The disclosed invention includes a crimpable sleeve (18) applied to the archwire for supplying additional force to correct and retain the teeth in a better alignment (column 3 lines 1-4), which is crimped in order to limit its movement (column 3, lines 57-64). It is well known to package archwires and orthodontic appliances for delivery to a doctor's office. Because, the crimpable sleeve and archwire are specifically disclosed for use with each other, and the archwire is not a standard wire that could be found in the doctor's office, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to package the entire archwire assembly together for delivery. In doing so, the practitioner has all the components necessary to carry out the disclosed orthodontic treatment.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 19-20, and 45-57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Casey (US 5,766,005), Cohen (US 5,154,613), Dellinger (US 4,526,540), Orikasa (US 5,259,760), and Forster (US 6,425,758) are made of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Casey Donahoe whose telephone number is (571) 272-2812. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272 -4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ralph A. Lewis Primary Examine Halphy rewis

Casey Donahoe Examiner Art Unit 3732